O. F. 71 & 72—[redacted],616—21-11-29.

C.F. 71 & 72.

19

Department of Revenue

OFFICE of the Board

STATION Madras

Mis No. 672

G.S.99/33.

Dated 28-2-33

	Date	Initial
Given for copying	3/3/33	
Copied	4/3/33	
Examined		
Signed		
Given for despatch	Despatched	
Despatched		
Indexed		
To be destroyed on*		

TO WHOM SENT

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Survey (Kanara) Non resurveyed tracts

Instructions - Method to be adopted - orders issued

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

Ref 42. 2572-32-7

Revenue Settlement Office
Party No.IV-Calicut,
Dated 26th January 1933.

65-39

BOARD OF REVENUE
30 JAN 1933
LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

From

D. I. S. Muir Esquire, I.C.S.,

Special Settlement Officer.

The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue and Settlement.

Chepauk, Madras.

Through

The Collector, South Kanara.

Mangalore.

Sir

Survey- South Kanara - Non-resurveyed tracts - Subdivis
Method to be adopted - Proposals submitted.

In my reference A-2-2572-32-1 dated 3-9-1932. I hav
submitted proposals to the Board for the staff required for the
survey of subdivisions to be formed in the South Kanara District
as a result of the reclassification of dry lands converted to we
and the booking of extensions of cultivation in assessed waste.
In the ordinary course, the method to be adopted for the survey
of such subdivisions is that prescribed in Chapter XI of the Cha
Survey Manual and in B.S.O. No.34-A. These rules however are
based on one large assumption, namely the existence of a survey
frame work within which the new subdivisions can be inserted wit
out undue difficulty. Such a frame work does not exist in the
South Kanara District. In this connection, I invite the Board'
attention to the following correspondence.-

(1) Mr. Mac-Swan's letter R.O.C.178/Mac of '27, dated
3-10-1927 disposed of in B.P.No.Misc.3289, dated 4-10-1928.

(2) Mr. Mac-Swan's letter R.O.C.515/Mac of 27-2 dated
16-1-28 disposed of in B.P.No.2755, dated 20-8-1928 and

(3) Mr. Sathianathan's letter R.O.C.1526/Misc.'30 dated
19-3-31.

I have within the past month had a further investigation made by
the Special Assistant Settlement Officer Mangalore. The Special
Assistant Settlement Officer was asked to tour in some of the no
resurveyed areas in the District, select at random some of the

with me that no relaxation of the formalities of the assignment rules is possible or desirable I submit that the only practicable course is for the settlement department to book and classify cases of extensions of cultivation, have them surveyed by the special staff and leave the actual assignment to the Revenue department. Such orders as the Revenue department pass before the introduction of resettlement can be communicated to the settlement department and incorporated in the resettlement accounts.

There are two further considerations which lead to the same conclusion. As the work of the special survey staff is in no sense to be a supplemental survey, but merely a maintanance operation. the issue of notices under sections 9(2). or 10(2) and 15 of the survey act will be unnecessary, except when new survey fields have to be created out of old survey ~~field~~ fields. Such cases will practically be confined to extensions of cultivation in big unassessed blocks. In these cases the cost of survey and demarcation should be recovered rateably from the applicants as a condition of assignment and I submit that this work can more suitably and economically be attended to by the Revenue department.

If the work of assignment is to be done by the settlement department, the settlement staff will have to reinspect the villages in Mangalore taluk for this purpose alone. as the rules regarding assignment by settlement officers were not observed when they were previously inspected and sketches prepared.

I request the Board's approval of the proposal in my ~~letter~~ predecessor's letter R.O.C.407/32-33.29-10. dated 3-11-30, that only such extensions of cultivation as are over 20 cents should be sketched and surveyed by the settlement staff.

I have one further general observation to make on this ~~question~~ ~~of~~ survey work. As Government have expressed the opinion that the following survey field work is adequate, I take it that I am correct in thinking that I am not in any sense to be engaged in a supplemental survey. I do not propose to supply plotting data for fields except where I find it necessary to do so in order

to fit in a new subdivision, nor do I propose to replace missing stones except where absolutely necessary. I shall reprepare only those field maps in which new subdivisons cannot be neatly inserted. The programme outlined above is admittedly [illegible] satisfactory. but I submit that a study of the papers relating to the supplemental survey in Kaikhat Taluk shows that the high cost of those operations was largely due to the attempt to set right the defects of the original survey by [illegible] those items which I propose to exclude. The South Kanara Manual [illegible] expensive to carry through and the result will leave matters. from a maintance point of view no worse than they are at present.

DMP/DKEJ

Special Settlement Officer.

02 NOV '32

DESPATCHED 27 JAN 1933

Copy submitted to the Board direct.

Ref. A2. 2572-32-7

Revenue Settlement Office,
Party No.IV Calicut,
Dated 26th January 1933.

From

D. I. R. Muir Esquire, I.C.S.,

Special Settlement Officer,

The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue And Settlement,
Bangalore, Mysore.

Through

The Collector, South Kanara,
Mangalore.

Sir,

Survey- South Kanara - Non-resurveyed tracts - subdivi
Method to be adopted - Proposals submitted.

In my reference A-2-2572-32-1 dated 6-9-1932, I ha
submitted proposals to the Board for the staff required for the
survey of subdivisions to be formed in the South Kanara District
as a result of the reclassification of dry lands converted to w
and the booking of extensions of cultivation in assessed waste.
In the ordinary course, the method to be adopted for the survey
of such subdivisions is that prescribed in Chapter XI of the Ch
Survey Manual and in B.S.O. No.54-A. These rules however are
based on one large assumption, namely the existence of a survey
frame work within which the new subdivisions can be inserted wi
but undue difficulty. Such a frame work does not exist in the
South Kanara District. In this connection I invite the Board
attention to the following correspondence:-

(1) Mr. Mac-Ewen's letter R.O.C.178/B&C of '27, dated
3-10-1927 disposed of in B.P.No.Misc.3289, dated 4-10-1928.

(2) Mr. Mac-Ewen's letter R.O.C.515/B&C of 27-2 dated
6-1-28 disposed of in B.P.No.2755, dated 20-8-1928 and

(3) Mr. Sathianathan's letter R.O.C.1926/Misc. 80 dated
9-5-31

have within the past month had a further investigation made by
the Special Assistant Settlement Officer Mangalore. The Special
Assistant Settlement Officer was asked to tour in some of the non
resurveyed areas in the district, select at random some of the

actual cases that will have to be taken up for subdivision and report on what relaxation of the rules he found to be absolutely necessary to carry through the work. I now request the Board to sanction the procedure outlined in the succeeding paragraphs of this letter.

In the great majority of the field maps there are no recorded chain and off-set measurements but only F line measurements. Hence in most cases it will not be possible to comply with the provisions of paragraph 2 of Chapter XI of the Manual of Chain Survey which prescribes the taking of a tracing of the map of the field from the Field Measurement Book and the mathematical calculation of the field and subdivision F lines with reference to the recorded chain and off-set distances. In most cases, no scientific verification of the accuracy of the F line measurements will be possible. ~~XXXXXXX~~ Further - more, Mr. Sathianathan in his reference No.1020/Misc.30, dated 10-3-31 remarks that in one out of every six or seven F lines actually measured on the ground the recorded measurements is wrong. Mr. Bouchier's recent reports and my own examination of some of the district Field Measurement Books lead me to believe that this is certainly not an exaggerated statement. If we are to adhere to the limit of error rule prescribed in B.S.O.No.34-B, viz., 10 links in distances of 5 chains and 12 links a chain for distances of over 5 chains, I foresee that a complete deadlock will occur because the remeasurement of such lines in large numbers will involve the adjoining fields and ultimately the resurvey of a great part of the district. I submit that the only practicable course is to measure the F line from which the new subdivision ~~XXX~~ takes off and adopt the actual measurement on the ground, correcting the field measurement book, if necessary, so far as that field is concerned, unless it appears from the nature of the ground that the recorded measurement is the correct one and that the stones have been moved. This procedure gives a very wide discretion to the surveyors, but as a check on their work is proposed that in any case where

case where they deviate from the procedure prescribed in the B.S.O. and Chain Survey Manual, they should be compelled to add a note to the sketch giving full reasons for doing so.

3 The next point is the verification of the position of the stones necessary to localize the new subdivision boundaries and the terminal points of the F. and G lines on which the new subdivision points are based. This should be done by measuring atleast two converging lines. In South Kanara, G.lines cannot be used. They are of excessive lengths, their terminal points have not been demarcated and they cannot be relaid on the ground. I propose where necessary to replace missing stones by following enjoyment along the F.lines. Similarly it will be not be possible to adhere strictly to the rules that the new subdivision points should be offsetted on lines joining two triangulations. In South Kanara occupied fields frequently exceed the limit of 10 acres in dry prescribed under the diagonal and offset system. Triangulation points are few and the distance between them may be as much as 25 chains. The country is jungly and broken. I have myself seen surveyors attempting to make use of such lines in the hilly part of Kottayam taluk in Malabar district and am of opinion that this method of survey, doubtless admirably suited to the flat lands of the East Coast is entirely out of place in Malabar and South Kanara. I understand that the Revenue department in South Kanara have given up taking offsets on triangulation lines. As bend stones are maintained the practice is to offset subdivision points on any convenient line having regard to the state of the ground and the facilities for chaining the lines. I request permission to employ similar methods now.

4 I also request permission to manipulate recorded measurements when it is found necessary forcibly to close new subdivisions during plotting. This will be necessary in the absence of independent checkable data for each field. The new subdivision measurements will be accurate and it will clearly be necessary in some cases to alter the old measurements in order to fit the new subdivision into the tracing. In no case will such manipulation extend beyond the field under subdivision.

to fit in a new subdivision. nor do I propose to replace missin
those except where absolutely necessary. I shall prepare
those field maps in which new subdivisons cannot be neatly ins
The programme outlined above is admittedly rough and ready and
satisfactory, but I submit that a study of the papers relating
the supplemental survey in Palghat taluk shows that the high c
of these operations was largely due to the attempt to set right
defects of the original survey by attending to those items whic
propose to exclude. The South Kanara work ought atleast to b
inexpensive to carry [illegible] will leave matters
from a maintance point of view no worse than they are at presen

DESPATCHED
27 JAN 1933

Special Settlement Officer.

case where they deviate from the procedure prescribed in the B.S.O. and Chain Survey Manual, they should be compelled to add a note to the sketch giving full reasons for doing so.

3 The next point is the verification of the position of the stones necessary to localize the new subdivision boundaries and the terminal points of the F. and G lines on which the new subdivision points are based. This should be done by measuring atleast two converging lines. In South Kanara. G.lines cannot be used They are of excessive lengths, their terminal points have not been demarcated and they cannot be relaid on the ground. I propose where necessary to replace missing stones by following enjoyment along the F. lines. Similarly it will be not be possible to adhere strictly to the rule that the new subdivision points should be offsetted on lines joining two trijunctions. In South Kanara. occupied fields frequently exceed the limit of 10 acres in dry prescribed under the diagonal and offset system. Trijunction points are few and the distance between them may be as much as 25 chains. The country is jungly and broken. I have myself seen surveyors attempting to make use of such lines in the hilly part of Kottayam taluk in Malabar district and am of opinion that this method of survey doubtless admirably suited to the flat lands of the East coast is entirely out of place in Malabar and South Kanara. I understand that the Revenue department in South Kanara have given up taking offsets on trijunction lines. As bend stones are maintained the practice is to offset subdivision points on any convenient line having regard to the state of ground and the facilities for chaining the lines. I request permission to employ similar methods now.

4 I also request permission to manipulate recorded measurements when it is found necessary forcibly to close new subdivisions during plotting. This will be necessary in the absence of independent plottable data for each field. The new subdivision measurements will be acurate and it will clearly be necessary in some cases to alter the old measurements in order to fit the new subdivision into the tracing. In no case will such manipulation extend beyond the field under subdivision.

5. Finally there is the process of area computation. It will be quite impossible to follow the chain survey manual here. In South Kanara at the last survey the areas of fields were computed from block maps ~~xxxxxx~~ and then entered in the field maps. As therefore the registered areas have not been independently calculated from the field maps I see no point in recomputing the area of these fields now and therefore the comparison of registered with actual area prescribed in the manual need not be done. The best method will be to take the actual area of the new subdivision formed as its final area to be adopted, deduct this from the registered area of the parent subdivision and adopt the area thus obtained as the final area of the residual subdivision and leave the registered areas of other subdivisions if any untouched. I request the Board's permission to adopt this practice.

6. I invite the Board's attention to my predecessor's letter R.O.C.407/B&C 29-10 dated 3-11-30 disposed of in B.P.Mis.No.504 dated 12-2-1932 dealing with the question of assignment of extensions of cultivation in waste blocks. It has not I think been finally decided whether the Settlement Department is to do this work. I submit that the situation has altered with the introduction of the simplified system of resettlement and the decision to employ special staff for subdivision work, and that there are various good reasons for the suggesion which I now put forward that the actual work of assignment should be left to the Revenue Department.

7. B.S.O.No.15(43) deals with the procedure to be adopted by Settlement Officers in assigning lands under sivaijama occupation on darkhast. These rules which provide for 10 days notice of the arrival of the assigning officer (The Additional Supervisor) in the village, the incorporation of his provisional order of assignment in the rough patta to be issued to the assignee and the consideration of any objection to that order at the rough patta objection hearing are quite incompatible with the new procedure to be adopted in non resurveyed areas viz. the issue of notices and disposal of objections as an office process. If the Board agrees

with me that no relaxation of the formalities of the assignment rules is possible or desirable I submit that the only practicable course is for the settlement department to book and classify cases of extensions of cultivation, have them surveyed by the special staff and leave the actual assignment to the Revenue department such orders as the Revenue department pass before the introduction of resettlement can be communicated to the settlement department and incorporated in the resettlement accounts.

8/ There are two further considerations which lead to the same conclusion. As the work of the special survey staff is in no sense to be a supplemental survey, but merely a maintenance operation, the issue of notices under sections 6,9(2), or 10(2) and 13 of th e survey act is unncessary, except when new survey fields have to be created out of old survey ~~field~~ fields. Such cases will practically be confined to extensions of cultivation in big unassessed blocks. In these cases the cost of survey and demarcation should be recovered rateably from the applicants as a condition of assignment and I submit that this work can more suitably and economically be attended to by the Revenue department.

9/ If the work of assignment is to be done by the settlement department, the settlement staff will have to reinspect the villages in Mangalore taluk for this purpose alone, as the rules regarding assignment by settlement officers were not observed when they were previously inspected and sketches prepared.

10/ I request the Board's approval of the proposal in my ~~xxxxx~~ predecessor's letter R.O.C.407/B&C.29-10 dated 3-11-30. that only such extens[illegible] as are over 20 cents should be sketched and surveyed by the settlement staff.

11. I have one further general observation to make on this special ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ staff work. As Government have expressed the opinion that the existing survey frame work is adequate, I take it that I am correct in ~~xxxxxxx~~ thinking that I am not in any sense to be engaged in a supplemental survey. I do not propose to supply plottable data for fields except where I find it necessary to do so in order

to fit in a new subdivision, nor do I propose to replace missing stones except where absolutely necessary. I shall reprepare only those field maps in which new subdivisons cannot be neatly inserted. The programme outlines above is admittedly rough and ready and unsatisfactory, but I submit that a study of the papers relating to the supplemental survey in Palghat taluk shows that the high cost of these operations was largely due to the attempt to set right the defects of the original survey by attending to those items which propose to exclude. The South Kanara work ought atleast to be inexpensive to carry through and the result will leave matters from a maintance point of view no worse than they are at present

13

Submitted for early orders.

2. There are real practical difficulties about combining an absolutely strict observance of the rules with any reasonable outturn by the Surveyors. The special staff should observe as far as possible the rules laid down in the Chain Survey Manual and those in B.S.Os 34A and B but the Special Settlement Officer must be given some discretion.

3. The assignment of extensions of cultivation may be attended to by the Revenue Department as proposed in the report.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 2nd Febry.1933.

ctor.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
MADRAS.

15

Survey - (South Kanara) - Non-resurveyed tracts - Sub-divisions method to be adopted -orders passed.

---

p. 7 c.f.

G.615/33

Q SSO + Cotlr
A Govt - H 7-13 cf.

RESOLUTION: Mis. 672 d 28.233

In view of the unsatisfactory nature of the frame work of the existing survey in the South Kanara District, the Board agrees with the Spl. Sett. officer that a strict adherence to the rules prescribed in the Chain Survey Manual and B.S.O. 34 A. is not practicable in the case of the survey of the sub-divisions referred to. The procedure outlined in paragraphs 2 to 5 of the S.S.Os. letter in regard to the survey and mapping of the sub-divisions is accordingly approved. The S.S.O. shd. however see that the rules prescribed in the Chain Survey Manual and B.S.O. 34 A are adhered to as far as possible and that a deviation is made only where absolutely necessary.

H 7-10 cf

H 6 nf

H 6 nf

2. The Board also approves the Spl. Sett. Officer's proposal that the Sett. Dept. shd. book and classify cases of extensions of cultivation, have them surveyed by the special staff and leave the actual assignment to the Rev. Dept. Such

H 6 nf
+ para 7 f 11 cf

orders as the Rev. Dept. pass before the introduction of resettlement shd. be communicated to the Sett. Department and incorporated in the resettlement accounts.

3. The S.S.O's. proposal that only such extensions of cultivation as are over 20 cents shd. be sketched and surveyed by the Sett. staff is approved.

para 10 - ¶ 11 C.F
¶ 10 F.F

4. The Spl. Sett. Officer is informed that the view in the concluding paragraph of his letter is correct.

para - ¶¶ 11-12 C.f
¶ 2 n.L

5. The Spl. Sett. Officer is requested to submit a notification under section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act VIII of 1923 in respect of the survey operations of the special staff in the South Kanara District.

¶ 12 n.f

6. In Para. 4(4) of their order Ms. 661 Rev., dated 27-3-1929 Govt. directed the Board to submit in respect of the non-surveyed areas of the S.Kanara Dt. lists of unauthorised occupation in kumakis and assessed waste lands with a view to consider the question whether any special measures were required during the resettlement for the unassignment and assessment. ~~of assessed wastes.~~ These lists were obtained by the Board but were not submitted to Govt. in view of the orders in G.O.No.2214 Rev., d/21-10-31 suspending survey and settlement operations in the district. As para 2 of G.O. No. 1368 Rev., dated 30-6-32 contemplates the work of sub-division

4 24-2-33

¶ 27 of BPMs 1012 (2)
1.1.32

To the S.S.O., Party,
To the Collr. of South Kanara
Copy to the Secy. to Govt.,

¶ 33 (D) BT 13504
12-232

¶ 157 (U) BP 103P
6-12-31

¶ 3 (C) BP 39P
8-7-32

B17

of occupied areas ~~and~~ in assessed wastes being attended to at the time of re-settlement and a special staff for the purpose has been sanctioned in G.O.No.Rev 58 Rev dated 10.1.33 the Board ~~does not consider it necessary~~ presumes that it is not now necessary to submit the lists called for in the G.O. quoted above.

(BPMs 304 27.1.33 Put up for an f-file)

127 (2)

BPMs/1012 8.4.29.

2 25.2.33

26.2.33

27/2/33.

To the S.S.O.No. IV Party.
To the Collector of S.Kanara.
To the Secy.to Govt. R.D.

28.2.33.

Rec'd as desired 1/3/33

Note File.

Survey (S. Kanara) Nonresurvey tracts - subdivision - method to be adopted.

As(sett)

#section

G 539/33 - From the SSO IV Party

d 26.1.33

To As(sett)

Please see the letter at ff 1-6c which is a copy of the letter submitted through the Collector of S. Kanara. The correctness remarks on the proposals of the S.S.O. may be awaited In a Demi Official letter the SSO advised to me fact that he was examining the frame work of the survey to see if it will serve the purpose for measuring reclamation. He was cautioned by the Board against postponing a resurvey The proposals now submitted are with ref: to that correspondence the S.S.O. has proposed a measurable arrangement avoiding the necessity for interference with the existing frame work of survey. The remarks of the Coll thereon may be awaited

para 3 ff 31-32 in ff (N) in Index file 2.2.33 2.2.33

(Returned for an urgent file)

¶ 39 ff (N) in Index file

[Returned for an urgent file]

Yes; for to 2 days i.e. 5th

Coll. may be reminded if his remarks do not come in 5 10th Inst.

fore they are examined in detail.

B
2.33
Ref
5 2 33

M2
S
As (Sett)
Section
Ref
17 2 33

;615/33. From the Collr of S Kanara d 2/2/33

To A S (Sett)

Please see the S.S.O's letter & the
Collector's remarks at Pp 7-13 cf
The S.S.O states that it will
not be possible to adhere to the
rules prescribed in Chapter XI of
the Survey manual & BSO 34 A
in regard to the survey of subdivisions
as there is no reliable frame
work of survey in the S. Kanara Dt.
The S.SO solicits the orders of
the Board ~~in regard~~ for the deviations
from the prescribed procedure in
the cases indicated below:-

1) Verification of F line measurements
~~with~~ by Calculation with reference to reco
chain and offset distances.

The S.S.O states that in a great
majority of the field maps there
are no recorded chain and offset
measurements ~~to~~ but only F line
measurements. The F line measurements

Is there any reason for
taking 10 days to put this up?
17 cf
R. Ref 24.2.33.

cannot therefore be verified by calculation as prescribed in para 2 of chapter XI of the Chain Survey Manual. In one out of every six or seven F lines measured on the ground the recorded measurement is found to be wrong. The S.S.O therefore suggests that the only practicable course is to measure the F line from which the new subdivision takes off and adopt the actual measurement on the ground Correcting the F.M.B if necessary so far as the field is concerned unless it appears from the nature of the ground that the recorded measurement is the correct one and that the stones have been moved. As this gives a very wide discretion to the surveyors, the S.S.O. proposes that they should be required to note in the sketch full reasons for deviation from the prescribed procedure in each such case.

II. Verification of the position of stones necessary to localise the new subdivision boundary and the terminal points of

p 71 Chain Survey Manual

p 37 (U) portion F

of the F & G lines on which the new subdivision points are based.

The S.So proposes to replace (where necessary) missing stones by following enjoyment along F lines, as G lines are of excessive lengths & the measurement of atleast two converging lines to verify the correctness of the position of the stone will be a very difficult task

The S.So. also suggests that subdivisions points may be offsetted on any convenient line having regard to the state of the ground and the facilities for chaining the line instead of on line joining ~~trijunct~~ trijunctions as prescribes in the rules. He states that this is in accordance with the existing practice in the district. para 3 - pg of

III. Manipulations necessary for the closing of subdivisions in plotting.

The S.So states that the new subdivision measurements will be accurate and that it will be clearly necessary in some cases to alter the ~~new~~ old measurements in order to fit the new subdivision in to the tracing. He states that the manipulation will be confined to the field or subdivision in question para 4 - pg of

IV Area computation

paras-p10 cf

The S.S.O points out the impossibil of comparing the registered area with the actual area and adjustment of the area as prescribed in the Chain survey manual. He states that the best method to be adopted will be to take the actual area of the new subdivision formed as its final area, deduct it from the registered area of the parent subdin and adopt the areas thus obtained as the final area of the residual subdivision and leave the registe areas of other subdivisions if any untouched.

p13 cf

The Collector has not offered any detailed remarks on the above proposals but states that in view of the practical difficulties involved in complying with the rules strictly the special staff should be made to observe as far as possible the rules laid down in the Chain survey manual a Rule 34 A & B but that th S.S.O should be given some discretion In view of the unsatisfactory nat

of the frame-work of the survey in the S. Kanara Dt- a strict adherence to the rule would not be practicable unless the special staff carried out a recovery of a large portion ~~within the limited scope of work of the Spl Staff of the Dt~~ – para 2- p&c/

For orders whether the in the circumstances reported the S.S.O's proposals for departing from the prescribed procedure may be accepted. He may at the same time be informed that the rule should be adhered to as far as possible and that a deviation should be made only where absolutely necessary. A

The S.S.O's proposals may be approved. ; D agree S.S.O. may also be informed accordingly to A

19.2.33. 23.2.33. 24.2.33.

Para 6 to 8 of the S.S.O's letter.

Assignment of extensions of cultivation in waste blocks.

Para 10-11 of 224/2

The S.S.O states that in view of the ~~simplified~~ simplified procedure to be adopted in settlement, the Sett Dept should be required to book and classify cases of extensions of cultivation, have them surveyed by the special staff and that the actual assignment should be left to the ordinary Revenue Dept. Orders passed in introduction of Resett should be communicated to the Sett Dept for incorporation in the report accounts.

Para 7- 11 of

The Collector recommend the S.S.O's proposal for orders whether this may be approved

may be approved 23.2.33. 24.2.33

Para 9 of the S.S.O's letter

11 of 224/2

The S.S.O brings to notice that if the assignment is to be done by the Sett staff

the sett staff will have to resp
the villages in Mangalore taluk for
this purpose alone as the rule regard
assignment by sett officers were no
observed when they were previously
inspected and sketches prepared.

We propose to entrust the assignment work to the Rev Dept, vide para 9 infra.
KL 23-2-31 cf

Remarks. The question will not arise if the assignment work is entrusted to the Rev. Dept as proposed.

Para 10 of the S. So's letter.

The S. So. recommends that only such extensions of cultivation a are over 20 cents should be sketche and surveyed by the settlement staf

Please see K's note on pp 9-10 infra
KL

I section to issue on this.

Para 11 of the S. So's letter.

11-12 cf

The S. S. O makes one general observation on the ~~survey~~ speci staff work. He presumes that the survey work of the special staff i not in any sense to be a supplem survey. The S. S. O does not propo to supply plottable data for fields except where it is necessary to do in order to fit in a new subdivis He does not also propose to replace missing stones except where absolu necessary.

11 cf

P. G

A perusal is solicited of the orders of Govt in Go No 1368 Rev dd 30.6.32 The G.O. does not contemplate a supplemental survey in the S. Kanara Dt. The special staff is to be employed mainly for measuring of extensions of cultivation & other items which would repay labour. For orders whether the S.S.O may be informed that the views in para 11 of his letter is correct

p3 (C) BP 39: 8.7.32

Copy of the orders of the Bd may be marked for Rev. 2.33. for their information. 15.2.33.

Paras 6 to 10 of the S.S.O's report Please see para 4 (4) of G.O. No 661 Rev., dd 27.3.1929. Govt. called for lists, in respect of non resurveyed area, of unauthorised occupations in kumaki and assessed waste lands with an estimate of their area & assessment in order to consider the question whether any special measures should be undertaken during the Rsett: for the assignment and assessment of occupied kumaki and assessed waste. Lists were accordingly ordered by the S.S.O. in respect of two

p27 (Z) work of the old survey

p1-6 (D)

He may be supplied. Hy 11-12 of 23.2.33 A.H.M 24.2.33.

Hy 23.2.33. Ref 24/2

and our Ref. should be careful

Kalubas. They were however
p 33 (D) not ordered to Govt pending
orders of Govt on the Resurvey
of the district. As Govt passed
p 35 (D) orders suspending the survey the
work in the Dt. the papers were
recorded [illegible] obtaining
[illegible] of the proposals which
p 27 (Z) they calls for in their Order dt 27.3.2
Govt. directed the referred to the
p 3 (G) Board in charge of occupation of waste
blocks. As one of the items of
work to be done in the Dt. The
3.1 (N) in Board while submitting proposals
linked file for the subdivision staff required
mentioned that an addl revenue
of about Rs 5000/- was expected
Q1 Was this ? Remarks at ? by the assessment of extensions
of cultn in waste blocks. This
estimate was based on the S.S.O's
report ordered with reference to the
G.O quoted above. There seems therefore
to be no necessity to address
Govt again on the matter the
the S.S.O's proposals. regarding assignment
may be approved.

A
7.2.33
KG
7.2.33

The S.S.O. proposes that

only such extensions of cultivation as are over 20 cents shd be surveyed by the Suppl Survey staff. ~~those which are below 20 cents in area are apparently to be left over for action by the Rev Dept.~~ The statement of proposals of RSP No 504 of 12 2 32 shows that in the non-resurvey talukhs the extent of the cases of occupation between 5 and 20 cents is 737.65 acres assessed @ Rs 490.2.0 while the cases of 20 cents and above extend to 2244.86 acres assessed @ Rs 2998.12. These however are only approximate figures. ~~It seems unnecessary to leave out the Comparatively small area of 737.65 acres from the scope of the Suppl Survey~~ The proposal of the SLO which is intended to avoid numerous tiny subdivs may be accepted

19/2/33. I agree

11

p 11 cf

... paragraph 6 of his letter the S.S.O has stated that as the work of the special survey staff is in no sense to be a supplemental survey but merely a maintenance operation the issue of notices under sections 6, 9(2) or 10(2) & 12 of the Survey Act is unnecessary except when new survey fields have to be created out of old survey fields. He also states that such cases will be confined to extensions of cultivation in big assessed blocks that in these cases & when subdivisions are made out of existing A.L. fields or subdivisions for assignment on darkhast, the cost of survey and demarcation should be recovered rateably from the applicants as a condition of assignment under para 17 of B80 34 A ~~the notification of the intention of this Government to apply the provisions of the Survey Act to the area to be surveyed~~ A perusal is solicited of the general notification under Section 5 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act VIII of 1923 at page 23 of BP 209 Pd 22 7.15

p 289 BSO Vol II

p 23 (P)

(P) n. To

under which maintenance
operations are now carried on
The survey operations of the
special staff in the S. Kanara
It may not be covered entirely
by the General Notification at (P) p 23 of Bf 209 P&H (P)
22.7.15.
In order to validate the
survey and to obviate legal
difficulties in future it seems desirable
~~to~~ to publish a ~~separation~~
notification under section 5
of the Survey & Boundaries Act in respect of
~~the~~ the survey operations
the S. Kanara Di. The S.S.O
may be requested to send up
a notification accordingly.
The proposals of the S.S.O regarding ~~the~~
the issue of sec 6, 9(2) 10 & 13 notice
and the collection of the cost of
survey and demarcation may be
accepted. Red. CR may be consulted.

Certainly.

Dy Comm

G. Pl draft urgent

As (Sell)

Draft submitted below.

As (Law)

With reference to Secy's remarks at p 2 N.F.

it is submitted that the file was received in the section on the 6th instant.

Nigel N.F.
(5th Sunday)
6th Monday

Removed for a file in F Sect.

BP No 3044 27.1.33 referred to in the S.S.O's letter was put up for a very urgent file in F section from 6.2.33 regd the special survey staff for S. Kanara and was available for reference only on 14.2.33. BP No 5044 12.2.32 taken by B section & BP No 2755 d 20.8.28 taken by F section were not also readily availd The note was prepared & kept ready and it was submitted as soon as the papers became available for reference The delay is regretted and will be avoided in future.

There is no need to regret delay wh was unavoidable: what is regrettable is that the (D) file contains no indicate of (W) the reasons for it. I don't see how any Supt. can keep a methodical eye on his men unless we insist that they put up all such cases for his orders & get them in writing.

16.2.33

16.2.33

27.2.33.

28.2.33.